Public Comments on Proposed Selection of Bisphenol A for Preparation of Hazard Identification Materials

Steven G. Hentges, Ph.D.
Polycarbonate/BPA Global Group
American Chemistry Council
December 10, 2007

Prior Evaluations of Bisphenol A

- Four comprehensive evaluations of bisphenol A have been conducted recently:
 - NTP Center for the Evaluation of Risks to Human Reproduction (CERHR, 2007)
 - European Food Safety Authority (EFSA, 2007)
 - Japanese National Institute of Advanced Science and Technology (2005)
 - European Union Risk Assessment (2003, 2008 update being finalized)
- Each evaluation focused on reproductive and developmental toxicity
 - These evaluations consistently show that bisphenol A is not a selective reproductive or developmental toxicant

CERHR Evaluation

- Most recent evaluation by CERHR panel of independent experts
 - Final panel report issued November 26, 2007
- Multiple comprehensive reproductive and developmental toxicity studies in laboratory animals were reviewed
 - Three multi-generation studies (2 in rats, 1 in mice)
 - NTP continuous breeding study in mice
 - NTP developmental toxicity studies in rats and mice
- + Robert Chapin (chair) Pfizer, Inc.
- + Kim Boekelheide Brown University
- + Simon Hayward Vanderbilt University Medical Center
- + Barry McIntyre Schering Plough Research Institute
- + Teresa Schnorr NIOSH
- + John Vandenbergh North Carolina State University

- + Jane Adams University of Massachusetts, Boston
- + L. Earl Gray US EPA
- + Peter Lees Johns Hopkins University
- + Kenneth Portier American Cancer Society
- + Sherry Selevan US Public Health Service (retired)
- + Susan Woskie University of Massachusetts, Lowell

Summary of CERHR Toxicity Conclusions

For reproductive and developmental toxicity, CERHR concluded:

- "Does <u>not</u> cause malformations or birth defects in rats or mice at levels up to the highest doses evaluated: 640 mg/kg/d (rats) and 1250 mg/kg/d (mice)."
- "Does <u>not</u> alter male or female fertility after gestational exposure up to doses of 450 mg/kg bw/d in the rat and 600 mg/kg bw/d in the mouse (highest dose levels evaluated)."
- "Does <u>not</u> permanently affect prostate weight at doses up to 475 mg/kg/d in adult rats or 600 mg/kg/d in mice."
- Did change the age of puberty in male or female rats at high doses (ca. 475 mg/kg/d).
 - Delays in preputial separation and vaginal opening, linked to body weight
 - These slight delays in pubertal landmarks did not affect reproductive outcome for any generation in a three-generation reproduction study in rats
- Based on the CERHR evaluation, bisphenol A has not been "clearly shown through scientifically valid testing according to generally accepted principles" to cause reproductive toxicity.

Summary of CERHR Concern Conclusions

- CERHR has five categories of concern expressed relative to current estimates of general population exposure levels:
 - Serious concern
 - Concern
 - Some concern
 - Minimal concern
 - Negligible concern
- Highest concern level for all endpoints was minimal or negligible, except "some concern" for neural and behavioral effects
 - Small number of small-scale animal studies "suggest" neurobehavioral effects
 - Unclear if these observations should be considered adverse
 - No definitive data available; additional research recommended

CERHR Evaluation Process is Sound

- CERHR evaluation process is scientifically rigorous and procedurally sound
 - Highly qualified scientific experts
 - Complies with Federal Advisory Committee Act guidelines to avoid conflict of interest among panel members
 - Open and transparent evaluation process with public participation
 - Final NTP report represents official view
- Process used for "Chapel Hill" statement on bisphenol A did not follow CERHR procedural guidelines
 - Closed process, conflict of interest not controlled
 - Not an official NIEHS activity or view

No Suitable Epidemiological Studies Exist

- CERHR panel concluded five available human studies are of limited utility for human health evaluation
 - Many limitations in design and analysis including:
 - + Small size
 - + Confounders and effect modifiers not effectively managed or controlled
 - + Significantly different time-frames fro biological sampling (for exposure analysis) and occurrence/development of health effect
 - + Analytical method unsuitable for measurement of bisphenol A
- These studies do not meet the Proposition 65 technical criteria for reproductive toxicity based on evidence in humans
 - Better characterized as exposure studies with descriptive crosssectional components rather than analytic or epidemiological studies
- Bisphenol A should have failed the epidemiologic data screen for prioritization

Pharmacokinetics Predict Low Toxicity

- Bisphenol A has low bioavailability
 - Extensive presystemic clearance by intestinal and hepatic first-pass metabolism to conjugates
 - Conjugated metabolites (glucuronide, sulfate) do not bind to estrogen receptor; do not exhibit estrogenic activity in *in vitro* estrogen assays
- Human pharmacokinetics differ from rodents
 - Conjugates eliminated exclusively in human urine; half-life ~4 hours
 - No enterohepatic recirculation in humans
- Metabolism and pharmacokinetic properties of bisphenol A predict low toxicity for oral administration
 - Consistent with low toxicity observed in comprehensive and robust animal studies

Human Exposure is Very Low

- Urine biomonitoring is best means to directly measure human exposure to bisphenol A
 - Conjugated metabolites rapidly and completely eliminated into urine
- CDC urine biomonitoring data (NHANES 2003-2004) indicates typical human exposure is approximately 0.05 μg/kg-day
 - Study included >2500 participants, ages 6-85
 - Results are representative of US population
 - Consistent with urine biomonitoring results from other geographies
- Low human exposure consistent with bisphenol A use patterns
 - Limited potential exposure from use of consumer products
 - No consumer products contain more than trace impurity levels
- Tolerable Daily Intake of 50 µg/kg-day set by EFSA in 2007
 - Typical human exposure ~1,000 times lower than TDI

Conclusions

- Bisphenol A should not be considered a priority for review by DARTIC and OEHHA
 - Recent comprehensive reviews indicate that bisphenol A has not been "clearly shown through scientifically valid testing according to generally accepted principles" to cause reproductive toxicity
- Bisphenol A does not meet the Proposition 65 technical criteria for a recommendation as known to the State to cause reproductive toxicity
 - No suitable epidemiological studies exist
 - Multiple comprehensive animal studies consistently show that bisphenol
 A is not a selective reproductive or developmental toxicant
- Review of bisphenol A by DARTIC and OEHHA would consume considerable time and effort and likely duplicate the work of other highly qualified bodies